OHIO SPRINGFIELD. SUN

16,783 MORNING

## Dulles Speaks Up

The current issue of Harper's magazine contains "a book-length supplement" by Allen Dulles, former director of the Central Intelligence Agency. "Much of this material, in different form, is being published in the Encyclo-tedis Britannica 1963 Book of the Year," says an editorial note. "An expanded version will appear in regular book form next fall."

Mr. Dulles entitles his work "The Craft of Intelligence." The trouble with the first major portion of it is that he is up to the old intelli-sence con game of piling known facts upon known facts in the hope, which has occasionally proved out, that the whole of a towering heap of information will somehow add up to more # than the sum of its parts. He has absolutely nothing to offer concerning present-day esplonage and counter-espionage activity that isn't already known to any moderately well-read person. But his mountainous accumulation of unsecret data will doubtless loom impres-

shallow reading. The second portion of his subcommittees of the Congress, and to the study, however, is something else again. Here, the ureau of the Budget for every penny exstudy, nowever is sometime ease again intellipended, whatever the purpose may be."
shifting his focus from the "craft" of intellippended, whatever the purpose may be."
gence to the administrative aspects, Allen "Personally I see little excuse for peacetime Dulles is in his element. Moreover, he is alement where the purpose may be." stirred to a liveller style by the cloud under moral issues, we have other and far more im-which he resigned the CIA directorship, a cloud portant ways of using our limited intelligence not entogether of his own making.

The first part of his survey sets forth the information we need through normal diplo-curious argument that because totalitarian the information we need through normal diplostates have an advantage over democracies in matters of news control, it would be only fair if the free-world press had a little less freedom. In contrast, the second portion offers a number of sensible observations and even a tilt at humor: "Actions and reactions can no longer be estimated on the basis of what we. ourselves might do in Khrushchev's shoes because, as we have seen at the United Nations,

he takes off his shoes." We hope this is the portion to be "expanded in regular book form." A few further quotes from it will explain why:

"Whenever a dramatic event occurs in the foreign-relations field-an event for which the public may not have been prepared one can usually count on the cry going up, 'Intelligence has failed again.' The charge may at times be correct. But there are also many occasions when an event has been foreseen and correctly estimated but intelligence has been unable to advertise its success."

"The willingness of a country to accept unpopularity in defense of its vital interests can be an element of strength. Often, I feel, because of our desire to be loved, this element has been lacking in American foreign policy, but that does not mean that we should emulate the brutal techniques of a Khrushchev.'

While obviously many expenditures must be sively as an envelopedia entry.

Such discretion is praiseworthy in a former the CIA always stands ready to account to the chief of intelligence, but it makes stale and the chief of intelligence, but it makes stale and the chief of intelligence, but it makes stale and the chief of intelligence, but it makes stale and the chief of the congress, and to the chief of the congress, and to the kept secret as far as the public is concerned.

resources. Also there are other ways of getting

"It is not our intelligence organization which threatens our liberties. The danger is rather that we will not be adequately informed of the perils which face us. . . . The last thing we can afford to do today is to put our intellimence in chains. Its protective and informative ple is indispensable in an era of unique and ontinuing danger."